ABSTRACT

Introduction: Surgery for thyroid cancers often necessitates a neck dissection. This is usually a safe procedure, but can be associated with complications. Chyle leak is one such complication, fortunately rare. There is a dearth of literature with regard to the management of chyle leak in the neck. We present a single center experience in the management of chyle leak in the neck, to improve the understanding of its management.

Materials and methods: A retrospective analysis of patients with thyroid cancer, managed between January 1st 2005 and December 31st 2011, in a single institution was performed. Among these, patients with chyle leak were identified. All pertinent data collected and results analyzed using STATA (v10).

Results: Three hundred and seventy-three/eight hundred and twenty-one (45.4%) patients surgically managed for thyroid cancer underwent a neck dissection. Thoracic duct injury was recognized and managed intraoperatively in 20/373 (5.4%) patients. The leak was prevented in the majority (66.6%) of patients in whom a combination of methods were employed. 25/373 (6.7%) patients were diagnosed and managed for chyle leak postoperatively. Seven patients required re-exploration. This included patients with low output chyle leaks who may have settled in a week to 10 days with conservative management. A combination of techniques was successful in the majority (71.4%). The remaining patients were successfully managed conservatively.

Conclusion: We conclude that using a combination of methods to manage thoracic duct injury may be better than using a single modality alone. Early re-exploration was more economical and acceptable for a subset of our patients, as they come from long distances at personal cost.
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INTRODUCTION

Chyle leak is a rare complication following neck dissection for thyroid malignancy. Its incidence varies from 1 to 3%, with an increased incidence following more radical surgical procedures.1-4 Patients who develop this complication are burdened by a protracted stay in hospital, escalating the cost of treatment and affecting their quality of life in the postoperative period. Literature on the management of chyle leak in the neck is scarce. We present our experience, over 7 years, in managing this complication in patients who are operated for thyroid cancer to improve the understanding of its management.

persistent leak as mentioned above. In the remaining 14 patients, the thoracic duct injury was not identified at the primary operation and chyle leak was recognized only in the postoperative period. Ten of these patients could be managed conservatively while four required re-exploration.

- **Re-exploration:** Seven out of 25 patients with chyle leak in the postoperative period required re-exploration (28%). The reason for re-exploration include high output chyle leak in two, failure to close in 1 to 2 weeks of conservative management in two and wound related complications (peridrain leak, collection of chyle and wound dehiscence) in the remaining three patients.

Three/seven patients were those who failed intraoperative management of thoracic duct injury at the primary operation. A combination of methods was used successfully during re-exploration in these patients. The remaining four patients, the leak was identified only in the postoperative period. Among these four patients, combination of methods was used during re-exploration in two and a single modality in one. Both these patients had no further leak. The fourth patient the leak site was not identified, only an ectatic thoracic duct was seen. The wound was packed with (BIPP) bismuth, iodoform and paraffin paste. This patient was diagnosed with anaplastic thyroid cancer and was given palliative care only. This is the only patient who persisted to leak.

The duration to re-exploration varied among all the patients. Four patients were re-explored within a week. Two patients were re-explored after 2 weeks. The one patient with anaplastic carcinoma was re-explored after 30 days.

- **Drain output:** The drain output was low (<500 ml/day) in all except two patients.

- **Right-sided leak:** Six patients (24%) had a right side chyle leak, five of whom healed spontaneously. One patient was given an initial trial of conservative management for 3 days. This patient had a high drain output (>500 ml/day), and required re-exploration. Suture ligating the thoracic duct and application of cyanoacrylate to the thoracic duct leak site was performed.

- **Left-sided leak:** Nineteen patients (76%) had a left-sided chyle leak. Thirteen of these were successfully managed conservatively, while the remaining six patients failed initial conservative management and were re-explored. Five of the six patients re-explored had a low output chyle leak. All patients who healed spontaneously on conservative management did so within 2 weeks.

- **Type of operation:** Chyle leak is a known complication following lateral neck dissection. In our series as well, 24/25 patients developed a chyle leak following a lateral neck dissection. One patient developed a leak following total thyroidectomy and therapeutic central compartment clearance alone.

**DISCUSSION**

**Chyle Leak Rate**

We report a high incidence of chylous leak from neck dissection for thyroid cancer compared to existing literature. A possible reason is that a higher proportion of our patients have an advanced disease as evidenced by the fact that 45.4% have undergone a therapeutic neck dissection.

**High Output vs Low Output**

The current definition of a low output chyle leak is a drain output less than 500 ml/day. Twenty-three out of 25 (92%) patients had a low output chyle leak.
The majority of chyle leaks in the neck involve the left side as the thoracic duct terminates on the left side.\textsuperscript{5} There have been reports of chyle leak from the right side, up to 25% being reported.\textsuperscript{6-8} This is due to injury to the right lymphatic duct. Our experience was similar with right sided chyle leak in six out of 25 patients (24%). There are reports in literature of chyle leak following total thyroidectomy and central compartment lymph node dissection, 0.8% being reported.\textsuperscript{7} We also report low output leak from a central compartment dissection in one patient (0.04%).

**MANAGEMENT**

**Intraoperative Recognition of Thoracic Duct Damage**

Various methods to identify if the thoracic duct has been injured during operation have been proposed.\textsuperscript{1} At our institution, we routinely perform the valsalva maneuver with direct inspection to check for chyle leak.

Once the site of the leak has been identified, the duct should be ligated using 3-0 or 4-0 prolene or the adjacent fascia plicated over the duct.\textsuperscript{9} Adjuncts to ligation include use of local and regional muscle flaps to aid in coverage of the site of injury.\textsuperscript{1} Other modalities used to increase likelihood of closure are fibrin/cyanoacrylate glue, sclerosing agents, such as OK 432 or tetracyclines (induce an inflammatory reaction in wound bed), and absorbable hemostat, such as surgicel and gelfoam.\textsuperscript{1,2,6,10-12}

From our experience using a combination of modalities to handle a thoracic duct injury seemed to be better than using a single modality alone. Though this was not statistically significant, the patient numbers being small a definite conclusion cannot be made. Even with control of all visible leakage sites, these patients are at a higher risk of postoperative chyle leaks. This may be due to unidentified injuries to other tributaries of the duct or additional termination of the duct. Majority of these usually settle on its own.

**Postoperative Recognition and Management**

In the postoperative period, chyle leaks may be low or high output. Low output chyle leaks are unlikely to have complications and may be managed conservatively. The aims of conservative management are to decrease chyle production, ensure adequate drainage, replace fluid and electrolyte and maintain/replete nutritional status.

Conservative management includes bed rest, maintaining suction drains placed during the operation and diet modified to an elemental diet with medium chain triglycerides (MCTs).\textsuperscript{1,2} If MCTs are not successful, then total parenteral nutrition (TPN) needs to be initiated.\textsuperscript{1,2} Other medical modalities have been tried, with varying results, to decrease chyle production. These include somatostatin and its analog octreotide, the pancreatic lipase inhibitor orlistat and etilefrine, a sympathomimetic amine.\textsuperscript{1,2,7,13-15}

Conservative management in our series entailed only maintaining adequate drainage of the wound. We did not modify diet nor use TPN in any of our patients managed conservatively.

---

**Table 1:** Patients with thoracic duct injury identified intraoperatively, their management and outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Method of intraoperative management of thoracic duct injury (suture ligation of thoracic duct/cyanoacrylate application/surgicel application/omohyoid myoplasty)</th>
<th>Prevention of chyle leak (Y)/(N)\textsuperscript{7}</th>
<th>Re-exploration (Y/N/NA\textsuperscript{9})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Suture ligation, cyanoacrylate and omohyoid myoplasty</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Suture ligation, cyanoacrylate and omohyoid myoplasty</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Suture ligation, cyanoacrylate and omohyoid myoplasty</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Suture ligation, cyanoacrylate and omohyoid myoplasty</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Suture ligation, omohyoid myoplasty</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cyanoacrylate, omohyoid myoplasty</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Suture ligation</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Suture ligation</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cyanoacrylate application</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Suture ligation, cyanoacrylate and omohyoid myoplasty</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Suture ligation, cyanoacrylate and omohyoid myoplasty</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Suture ligation, surgicel application</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Suture ligation</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Suture ligation</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Suture ligation</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Suture ligation</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Suture ligation</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Suture ligation</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Cyanoacrylate application</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{Y: Yes; N: No; NA: Not applicable}
Re-exploration of Neck Wound with Repair of Chyle Leak

Re-exploration of the neck wound with repair of site of chyle leak is indicated in those with wound complications or evidence of malnutrition/dyselectrolytemia, which is likely in patients who have a high output fistula (>500 mL/day). Our patients with a low output chyle leak who failed to close in 1 to 2 weeks were re-explored early to reduce hospital stay and permit earlier return home. Further conservative management may have been successful in these patients. This strategy was more economical and acceptable to patients, many of whom come from long distances at personal cost. A combination of methods seems to be a better modality in treating chyle leaks during re-exploration as well.

Newer Modalities of Management

Percutaneous transabdominal cannulation of thoracic duct involves cannulating cisterna chyli or large lymphatic vessels transabdominally following pedal lymphangiography to delineate the lymphatic vessels.2,8 The damaged thoracic duct may be visualized, embolization coils and tissue adhesive may be placed. This is a time consuming procedure requiring multiple attempts, specialized personnel and equipment. It may also be associated with patient discomfort. Success rate has been reported in 45 to 70% of patients.8 We have no experience with this modality of management.

Thoracoscopic ligation of thoracic duct is another proposed method. This method had initially been advocated for chylothorax following esophagectomies and chest trauma. There have been a few case reports, where this method has been tried successfully to manage persistent/high output chyle leaks in the neck.16,17 Here one identifies and ligates the thoracic duct in chest thoracoscopically. None of our patients in this cohort required thoracoscopic ligation of the thoracic duct to stop the chyle leak.

CONCLUSION

Chyle leak in the neck is a rare but potentially grave complication following neck operations. Our experience suggests that there may be a role for using a combination of methods to manage thoracic duct injury at primary operation rather than using a single modality alone. Majority of chyle leaks are low output. Early re-exploration for low output leaks may be considered in low/middle income countries to decrease the duration of hospital stay and in turn expenses. Left side is more commonly affected than the right side. Chyle leak may infrequently result following a central compartment clearance alone.
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